arXiv:1701.03723v1 [math.NT] 11 Jan 2017

Computation and theory of Euler sums of generalized hyperharmonic numbers

Ce Xu*

School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University
Xiamen 361005, P.R. China

Abstract Recently, Dil and Boyadzhiev [10] proved an explicit formula for the sum of multiple harmonic numbers whose indices are the sequence $(\{0\}_r, 1)$. In this paper we show that the sums of multiple harmonic numbers whose indices are the sequence $\left(\{0\}_r, 1; \{1\}_{k-1}\right)$ can be expressed in terms of (multiple) zeta values, multiple harmonic numbers and Stirling numbers of the first kind, and give an explicit formula.

Keywords Euler sums; hyperharmonic numbers; harmonic numbers; multiple harmonic numbers; Riemann zeta function; multiple zeta (star) values; Stirling numbers of the first kind.

AMS Subject Classifications (2010): 11B73; 11B83; 11M06; 11M32; 11M99

1 Introduction

Let $s_1, \ldots, s_m$ be positive integers. The classical multiple harmonic numbers (MHNs) and multiple harmonic star numbers (MHSNs) are defined by the partial sums (see [17, 23])

$$\zeta_n(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_m) := \sum_{n \geq n_1 > \cdots > n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} n_2^{s_2} \cdots n_m^{s_m}}, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\zeta_n^\star(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_m) := \sum_{n \geq n_1 \geq \cdots \geq n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} n_2^{s_2} \cdots n_m^{s_m}}, \tag{1.2}$$

when $n < m$, then $\zeta_n(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_m) = 0$, and $\zeta_n(\emptyset) = \zeta_n^\star(\emptyset) = 1$. The limit cases of MHNs and MHSNs give rise to multiple zeta values (MZVs) and multiple zeta star values (MZSVs) (see [12, 16, 17, 23, 25]):

$$\zeta(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_m) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \zeta(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_m),$$
$$\zeta^\star(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_m) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \zeta^\star(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_m)$$

defined for $s_2, \ldots, s_m \geq 1$ and $s_1 \geq 2$ to ensure convergence of the series. For non-negative integers $s_1, \ldots, s_{m+k}$, we define the following a generalized multiple harmonic numbers

$$\zeta_n(s_1, \cdots, s_m; s_{m+1}, \cdots, s_{m+k}) := \sum_{\substack{0 < n_{m+k} < \cdots < n_m + 1 \\ < n_m \leq n_{m-1} \cdots \leq n_1 \leq n}} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_{m+k}^{s_{m+k}}}. \tag{1.3}$$

*Corresponding author. Email: 15959259051@163.com (C. Xu)

Obviously, if $m=0$ or $k=0$ in (1.3) and $s_j \in \mathbb{N} := \{1,2,\cdots\}$, then

$$\zeta_n(\emptyset; s_1,\cdots,s_k) = \zeta_n(s_1,\cdots,s_k),$$
$$\zeta_n(s_1,\cdots,s_m;\emptyset) = \zeta_n^{\star}(s_1,\cdots,s_m).$$

There are a lot of recent contributions on MZVs and MZSVs (for example, see [12, 16, 17, 23, 25]). The earliest results on MZVs or MZSVs are due to Euler who elaborated a method to reduce double sums $\zeta(s_1,s_2)$ (also called linear Euler sums [11, 21]) of small weight to certain rational linear combinations of products of zeta values. In [11], Flajolet and Salvy introduced the following generalized series

$$S_{\mathbf{S},q} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_n^{(s_1)} H_n^{(s_2)} \cdots H_n^{(s_r)}}{n^q}, \tag{1.4}$$

which is called the generalized (nonlinear) Euler sums. Here $\mathbf{S} := (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_r)$ $(r, s_i \in \mathbb{N}, i = 1,2,\ldots,r)$ with $s_1 \le s_2 \le \ldots \le s_r$ and $q \ge 2$. The quantity $w := s_1 + \cdots + s_r + q$ is called the weight and the quantity r is called the degree. The notation $H_n^{(p)}$ denotes the ordinary harmonic numbers defined by

$$H_n^{(p)} \equiv \zeta_n(p) := \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j^p},\ p,n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It has been discovered in the course of the years that many nonlinear Euler sums admit expressions involving finitely "zeta values", that is say values of the Riemann zeta function,

$$\zeta(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}, \Re(s) > 1.$$

with positive integer arguments, and linear Euler sums. The relationship between the values of the Riemann zeta values and Euler sums has been studied by many authors. For details and historical introductions, please see [1, 3–6, 8, 11, 15, 18–22] and references therein.

From [7, 9, 10, 14], we know that the classical hyperharmonic numbers are defined by

$$h_n^{(m)} := \sum_{1 \le n_m \le \cdots \le n_1 \le n} \frac{1}{n_m} = \zeta_n^{\star}\left(\{0\}_{m-1}, 1\right). \tag{1.5}$$

In [24], we define the generalized hyperharmonic numbers $h_n^{(m)}(k)$ by

$$h_n^{(m)}(k) := \sum_{\substack{1 \le n_{m+k-1} < \cdots < n_{m+1} \\ < n_m \le n_{m-1} \le \cdots \le n_1 \le n}} \frac{1}{n_m n_{m+1} \cdots n_{m+k-1}} = \zeta_n\left(\{0\}_{m-1}, 1; \{1\}_{k-1}\right), \tag{1.6}$$

where $m,k \in \mathbb{N}$ (The notation $\{\}_p$ means that the sequence in the bracket is repeated p times). In this paper, we prove the result: for positive integers m and k, the Euler-type sums with hyperharmonic numbers

$$S(k,m;p) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h_n^{(m)}(k)}{n^p} \quad (p \ge m+1)$$

are related to the multiple zeta values, multiple harmonic numbers and Stirling numbers of the first kind. For $k = 1,2,3$, the above results have been proved in Dil et al.[10] and our paper [24]. The purpose of the present paper is to prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1 *For integers $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $r+2 \leq p \in \mathbb{N}$, then the following identity holds:*

$$S(k, r+1; p) = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{l=1}^{r+1} \begin{bmatrix} r+1 \\ l \end{bmatrix} \sum_{\substack{i+j=k \\ i,j \geq 0}} (-1)^i \zeta_r^{\star}(\{1\}_i) U_{j,r}(p+1-l), \tag{1.7}$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ denotes the (unsigned) Stirling number of the first kind, which is defined by [7, 13]

$$n! x(1+x)\left(1+\frac{x}{2}\right)\cdots\left(1+\frac{x}{n}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k+1 \end{bmatrix} x^{k+1}, \tag{1.8}$$

with $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = 0$, if $n < k$ and $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ k \end{bmatrix} = 0$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1$, or equivalently, by the generating function:

$$\log^k(1-x) = (-1)^k k! \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{x^n}{n!}, \ x \in [-1, 1). \tag{1.9}$$

and

$$U_{j,r}(p) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta_{n+r}\left(\{1\}_j\right)}{n^p}. \tag{1.10}$$

Theorem 1.2 *For integers $m > 0, p > 1$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{m,r}(p) &= \zeta(p, \{1\}_m) + \zeta\left(p+1, \{1\}_{m-1}\right) \\
&+ (-1)^{p-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_m < \cdots < i_1 \leq r} \sum_{l=1}^{m} \prod_{\substack{a=1 \\ a \neq l}}^{m} \frac{H_{i_l}}{(i_a - i_l) i_l^p} \\
&+ \sum_{1 \leq i_m < \cdots < i_1 \leq r} \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} \sum_{l=1}^{m} \prod_{\substack{a=1 \\ a \neq l}}^{m} \frac{\zeta(p+1-b)}{(i_a - i_l) i_l^b} (-1)^{b-1} \\
&+ (-1)^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_j < \cdots < i_1 \leq r} \sum_{l=1}^{j} \prod_{\substack{a=1 \\ a \neq l}}^{j} \frac{\zeta(m-j+1) + \zeta_{i_l}^{\star}\left(\{1\}_{m-j+1}\right) - \dfrac{\zeta_{i_l}^{\star}\left(\{1\}_{m-j}\right)}{i_l}}{(i_a - i_l) i_l^p} \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_j < \cdots < i_1 \leq r} \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} \sum_{l=1}^{j} \prod_{\substack{a=1 \\ a \neq l}}^{j} \frac{\zeta\left(p+1-b, \{1\}_{m-j}\right) + \zeta\left(p+2-b, \{1\}_{m-j-1}\right)}{(i_a - i_l) i_l^b} (-1)^{b-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

2 Some Lemmas and Theorems

To prove the Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 *([24]) For positive integers n and k, then the following identity holds:*

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = (n-1)! \zeta_{n-1}\left(\{1\}_{k-1}\right). \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.2 *([24]) For positive integers m, n and k, we have the recurrence relation*

$$h_n^{(m)}(k) = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^i h_n^{(m)}(i) \left\{ H_{m+n-1}^{(k-i)} - H_{m-1}^{(k-i)} \right\}, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$h_n^{(m)}(0) = \binom{m+n-1}{m-1}.$$

Lemma 2.3 *([23]) For positive integers m and n, then the recurrence relation holds:*

$$\bar{B}_m(n) = \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \bar{B}_i(n) X_n(m-i), \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$X_n(m) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^m, \ x_i \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$\bar{B}_m(n) := \sum_{k_1=1}^{n} x_{k_1} \sum_{k_2=1}^{k_1-1} x_{k_2} \cdots \sum_{k_m=1}^{k_{m-1}-1} x_{k_m}, \bar{B}_0(n) = 1.$$

Lemma 2.4 *([22, 24]) For integers $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} := \{2, 3, \ldots\}$, then the following identity holds:*

$$(p-1)! \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ p \end{bmatrix}}{n! n (n+k)} = \frac{1}{k} \left\{ (p-1)! \zeta(p) + \frac{Y_p(k)}{p} - \frac{Y_{p-1}(k)}{k} \right\}, \tag{2.4}$$

where $Y_k(n) = Y_k\left(H_n, 1!H_n^{(2)}, 2!H_n^{(3)}, \cdots, (r-1)!H_n^{(r)}, \cdots\right)$, $Y_k(x_1, x_2, \cdots)$ stands for the complete exponential Bell polynomial defined by (see [13])

$$\exp\left(\sum_{m \geq 1} x_m \frac{t^m}{m!} \right) = 1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} Y_k(x_1, x_2, \cdots) \frac{t^k}{k!}. \tag{2.5}$$

Noting that, in [21], we find the relation

$$\zeta_n^{\star}(\{1\}_m) = \frac{1}{m!} Y_m(n), n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{2.6}$$

Lemma 2.5 *For positive integers m and n, then*

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j=m \\ i,j \geq 0}} (-1)^i \bar{A}_i(n) A_j(n) = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$\bar{A}_m(n) := \sum_{1 \leq k_m < \cdots < k_1 \leq n} a_{k_1} \cdots a_{k_m}, \ a_k \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$A_m(n) := \sum_{1 \leq k_m \leq \cdots \leq k_1 \leq n} a_{k_1} \cdots a_{k_m}, \ a_k \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For convenience, we set $\bar{A}_0(n) = A_0(n) = 1$. If $n < m$, we let $\bar{A}_m(n) = 0$.

Proof. By a direct calculation, the following identities are easily derived

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-a_i t)^{-1}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}A_m(n)\,t^m,$$
$$\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1+a_i t)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\bar{A}_m(n)\,t^m.$$

Hence, by using Cauchy product of power series, we have

$$\begin{aligned}1&=\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-a_i t)^{-1}\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-a_i t)\\&=\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}A_m(n)\,t^m\right)\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^m\bar{A}_m(n)\,t^m\right)\\&=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left\{\sum_{\substack{i+j=m\\ i,j\geq 0}}(-1)^i\bar{A}_i(n)\,A_j(n)\right\}t^m.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, comparing the coefficients of t^m in above equation, we obtain the formula (2.7). The proof of Theorem 2.3 is finished. □

The above lemmas will be useful in the development of the main theorems. Next, we give some important theorems and it's proofs by using these lemmas.

Theorem 2.6 *For integers $r\geq 0$ and $m,n>1$, then*

$$\sum_{1\leq k_m<\cdots<k_1\leq n}\frac{1}{(k_1+r)\cdots(k_m+r)}=\sum_{\substack{i+j=m\\ i,j\geq 0}}(-1)^i\zeta_r^{\star}\left(\{1\}_i\right)\zeta_{n+r}\left(\{1\}_j\right),\tag{2.8}$$

where

$$\zeta_0^{\star}(\emptyset)=\zeta_0(\emptyset)=1,\ \zeta_0^{\star}\left(\{1\}_i\right)=\zeta_0\left(\{1\}_j\right)=0,\ (i,j\geq 1).$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on m. For $m=1$ we have $\sum_{1\leq k_1\leq n}\frac{1}{k_1+r}=\zeta_{n+r}(1)-\zeta_r^{\star}(1)$, and the formula is true. For $m>1$ we proceed as follow. Let

$$\zeta_n(s_1,s_2,\cdots,s_m\,|r+1):=\sum_{1\leq k_m<\cdots<k_1\leq n}\frac{1}{(k_1+r)^{s_1}\cdots(k_m+r)^{s_m}},\tag{2.9}$$

$$\zeta_n(\emptyset\,|r+1)=1.$$

Then by the definition (2.9) and the induction hypothesis, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_n\left(\{1\}_{m+1}\,|r+1\right)&=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{\zeta_{k-1}\left(\{1\}_m\,|r+1\right)}{k+r}\\&=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{k+r}\sum_{\substack{i+j=m\\ i,j\geq 0}}(-1)^i\zeta_r^{\star}\left(\{1\}_i\right)\zeta_{k+r-1}\left(\{1\}_j\right)\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\substack{i+j=m \\ i,j\geq 0}} (-1)^i \zeta_r^\star\left(\{1\}_i\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\zeta_{k+r-1}\left(\{1\}_j\right)}{k+r} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{i+j=m \\ i,j\geq 0}} (-1)^i \zeta_r^\star\left(\{1\}_i\right) \left\{\zeta_{n+r}\left(\{1\}_{j+1}\right) - \zeta_{n+r}\left(\{1\}_{j+1}\right)\right\} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{i+j=m+1 \\ i,j\geq 0}} (-1)^i \zeta_r^\star\left(\{1\}_i\right) \zeta_{n+r}\left(\{1\}_j\right) - \sum_{\substack{i+j=m+1 \\ i,j\geq 0}} (-1)^i \zeta_r^\star\left(\{1\}_i\right) \zeta_r\left(\{1\}_j\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}
$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 2.5, setting $a_k = \dfrac{1}{k}$ and $n = r$ we get

$$
\sum_{\substack{i+j=m \\ i,j\geq 0}} (-1)^i \zeta_r^\star\left(\{1\}_i\right) \zeta_r\left(\{1\}_j\right) = 0,\ m \geq 1. \tag{2.11}
$$

Hence, combining (2.10) and (2.11) we can prove that the formula (2.8) holds. □
In fact, by a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.6 with the help of formula (5.2) in the reference [23], we obtain the more general theorem.

Theorem 2.7 *For integers $r \geq 0, m, n > 1$ and real $p > 0$, then*

$$
\sum_{1\leq k_m<\cdots<k_1\leq n} \frac{1}{(k_1+r)^p \cdots (k_m+r)^p} = \sum_{\substack{i+j=m \\ i,j\geq 0}} (-1)^i \zeta_r^\star\left(\{p\}_i\right) \zeta_{n+r}\left(\{p\}_j\right), \tag{2.12}
$$

$$
\sum_{1\leq k_m\leq\cdots\leq k_1\leq n} \frac{1}{(k_1+r)^p \cdots (k_m+r)^p} = \sum_{\substack{i+j=m \\ i,j\geq 0}} (-1)^i \zeta_r\left(\{p\}_i\right) \zeta_{n+r}^\star\left(\{p\}_j\right). \tag{2.13}
$$

Theorem 2.8 *For integers $r \geq 0$ and $m, n \geq 1$, then the following identity holds:*

$$
h_n^{(r+1)}(m) = \binom{n+r}{r} \sum_{\substack{i+j=m \\ i,j\geq 0}} (-1)^i \zeta_r^\star\left(\{1\}_i\right) \zeta_{n+r}\left(\{1\}_j\right). \tag{2.14}
$$

Proof. In Lemma 2.3, taking $x_j = \dfrac{1}{j+r}$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&X_n(m) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{j+r}\right)^m = H_{n+r}^{(m)} - H_r^{(m)}, \\
&\zeta_n\left(\{1\}_m | r+1\right) = \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \zeta_n\left(\{1\}_i | r+1\right) \left(H_{n+r}^{(m-i)} - H_r^{(m-i)}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}
$$

From Lemma 2.1 and formula (2.15) we deduce that

$$
h_n^{(r+1)}(m) = \binom{n+r}{r} \zeta_n\left(\{1\}_m | r+1\right). \tag{2.16}
$$

Substituting (2.8) into (2.16) we may easily obtain the desired result. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.7. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

By replacing x by n and n by r in (1.9), we deduce that

$$\binom{n+r}{r}=\frac{1}{r!}\sum_{l=1}^{r+1}\begin{bmatrix}r+1\\ l\end{bmatrix}n^{l-1}. \tag{3.1}$$

Therefore, from (2.12) and (3.1) we obtain

$$h_n^{(r+1)}(k)=\frac{1}{r!}\sum_{l=1}^{r+1}\begin{bmatrix}r+1\\ l\end{bmatrix}n^{l-1}\sum_{\substack{i+j=k\\ i,j\geq 0}}(-1)^i\zeta_r^{\star}\left(\{1\}_i\right)\zeta_{n+r}\left(\{1\}_j\right). \tag{3.2}$$

Thus, by the definition of $S(k,m;p)$ and (3.2) we can prove (1.7). □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

By the definition of multiple harmonic number (1.1), we can find that

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{m,r}(p)&=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\zeta_{n+r}\left(\{1\}_m\right)}{n^p}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^p}\sum_{k=1}^{n+r}\frac{\zeta_{k-1}\left(\{1\}_{m-1}\right)}{k}\\
&=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\zeta_n\left(\{1\}_m\right)}{n^p}+\sum_{k=1}^{r}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\zeta_{n+k-1}\left(\{1\}_{m-1}\right)}{n^p(n+k)}\\
&=\zeta\left(p,\{1\}_m\right)+\zeta\left(p+1,\{1\}_{m-1}\right)+\sum_{i_1=1}^{r}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\zeta_n\left(\{1\}_{m-1}\right)}{n^p(n+i_1)}+\sum_{i_1=1}^{r}\sum_{i_2=1}^{i_1-1}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\zeta_{n+i_2-1}\left(\{1\}_{m-2}\right)}{n^p(n+i_1)(n+i_2)}\\
&=\cdots\\
&=\zeta\left(p,\{1\}_m\right)+\zeta\left(p+1,\{1\}_{m-1}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{1\leq i_j<\cdots<i_1\leq r}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\zeta_n\left(\{1\}_{m-j}\right)}{n^p(n+i_1)\cdots(n+i_j)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

On the other hand, we consider the expansion

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{k}(n+a_i)}=\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{A_j}{n+a_j}\quad(k\in\mathbb{N}_0;a_i\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{Z}^-) \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$A_j=\lim_{n\to -a_j}\frac{n+a_j}{\prod_{i=1}^{k}(n+a_i)}=\prod_{i=1,i\neq j}^{k}(a_i-a_j)^{-1}. \tag{4.3}$$

Therefore, the equation (4.1) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{m,r}(p)=&\zeta\left(p,\{1\}_m\right)+\zeta\left(p+1,\{1\}_{m-1}\right)\\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{j}\prod_{a=1,a\neq l}^{j}(i_a-i_l)^{-1}\right)\sum_{1\leq i_j<\cdots<i_1\leq r}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\zeta_n\left(\{1\}_{m-j}\right)}{n^p(n+i_l)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

For $r>0$, we have the partial fraction decomposition

$$\frac{1}{n^{p}\left(n+r\right)}=\sum_{b=1}^{p-1}\frac{(-1)^{b-1}}{r^{b}}\cdot\frac{1}{n^{p+1-b}}+\frac{(-1)^{p-1}}{r^{p-1}}\cdot\frac{1}{n\left(n+r\right)}, \tag{4.5}$$

Moreover, from identities (2.1), (2.4) and (2.6), we deduce the following result

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\zeta_{n}\left(\{1\}_{p-1}\right)}{n\left(n+k\right)}=\frac{1}{k}\left\{\zeta\left(p\right)+\zeta_{k}^{\star}\left(\{1\}_{p}\right)-\frac{\zeta_{k}^{\star}\left(\{1\}_{p-1}\right)}{k}\right\},\ k\in\mathbb{N},p\in\mathbb{N}/\{1\}. \tag{4.6}$$

Hence, combining (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6), by a simple calculation, we obtain the the desired result. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

5 Conclusion

From [12, 16], we know that the Aomoto-Drinfeld-Zagier formula reads

$$\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty}\zeta\left(m+1,\{1\}_{n-1}\right)x^{m}y^{n}=1-\exp\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\zeta\left(n\right)\frac{x^{n}+y^{n}-\left(x+y\right)^{n}}{n}\right), \tag{5.1}$$

which implies that for any $m,\ n\in\mathbb{N}$, the multiple zeta value $\zeta\left(m+1,\{1\}^{n-1}\right)$ can be represented as a polynomial of zeta values with rational coefficients, and we have the duality formula

$$\zeta\left(n+1,\{1\}_{m-1}\right)=\zeta\left(m+1,\{1\}_{n-1}\right).$$

In particular, one can find explicit formulas for small weights.

$$\begin{aligned}&\zeta\left(2,\{1\}_{m}\right)=\zeta\left(m+2\right),\\&\zeta\left(3,\{1\}_{m}\right)=\frac{m+2}{2}\zeta\left(m+3\right)-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\zeta\left(k+1\right)\zeta\left(m+2-k\right).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, from formulas (1.11) and (5.1), we see that the sums $U_{m,r}\left(p\right)$ can be expressed in terms of series of Riemann zeta function and harmonic numbers. Thus, we show that the Euler-type sums with hyperharmonic numbers $S\left(k,r+1;p\right)$ can be expressed in terms of zeta values and Stirling numbers of the first kind, for integers $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and $r\in\mathbb{N}_{0}$ with $r+2\leq p\in\mathbb{N}$.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her helpful comments, which improve the presentation of the paper.

References

[1] David H. Bailey, Jonathan M. Borwein and Roland Girgensohn. *Experimental evaluation of Euler sums*. Experimental Mathematics., 1994, **3**(1): 17-30.

[2] A.T. Benjamin, D. Gaebler, R. Gaebler. *A combinatorial approach to hyperharmonic numbers*. Integers (Elec. J. Combi. Number Theory)., 2003, **3**: 1-9.

[3] David Borwein, Jonathan M. Borwein and Roland Girgensohn. *Explicit evaluation of Euler sums*. Proc. Edinburgh Math., 1995, **38**: 277-294.

[4] J.Borwein, P. Borwein, R.Girgensohn, S.Parnes. *Making sense of experimental mathematics*. Mathematical Intelligencer., 1996, **18**(4): 12-18.

[5] J. M. Borwein, I. J. Zucker, J. Boersma. *The evaluation of character Euler double sums*. Ramanujan J., 2008, **15** (3): 377-405.

[6] J. M. Borwein, R. Girgensohn. *Evaluation of triple Euler sums*. Electron. J. Combin., 1996: 2-7.

[7] J. H. Conway, R.K. Guy. *The book of numbers*. Springer-Verlag, New York., 1996: 258-259.

[8] A. Dil, V. Kurt. *Polynomials related to harmonic numbers and evaluation of harmonic number series II*. Applicable Analysis and Discrete Mathematics., 2009, **5**(2): 212-229.

[9] A. Dil, I. Mezö. *A symmetric algorithm for hyperharmonic and Fibonacci numbers*. Appl. Math. Comput., 2008, **206**: 942-951.

[10] A. Dil, K. N. Boyadzhiev. *Euler sums of hyperharmonic numbers*. Journal of Number Theory., 2015, **147**: 490-498.

[11] Philippe Flajolet, Bruno Salvy. *Euler sums and contour integral representations*. Experimental Mathematics., 1998, **7**(1): 15–35.

[12] Masanobu Kaneko, Yasuo Ohno. *On a kind of duality of multiple zeta-star values*. International Journal of Number Theory., 2010, **8**(8): 1927-1932.

[13] Comtet L. *Advanced combinatorics*. D Reidel Publishing Company, Boston., 1974.

[14] I. Mezö, A. Dil. *Hyperharmonic series involving Hurwitz zeta function*. J. Number Theory., 2010, **130**: 360-369.

[15] I. Mezö. *Nonlinear Euler sums*. Pacific J. Math., 2014, **272**: 201-226.

[16] Yasuo Ohno and Don Zagier. *Multiple zeta values of fixed weight, depth, and height*. Indag. Mathem., N.S. 2001, **12**(4): 483-487.

[17] Kh. Hessami Pilehrood, T. Hessami Pilehrood, R. Tauraso. *New properties of multiple harmonic sums modulo p and p-analogues of Leshchiner's series*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 2013, **366**(6): 3131-3159.

[18] A. Sofo. *Quadratic alternating harmonic number sums*. J. Number Theory., 2015, **154**: 144-159.

[19] H.M. Srivastava, J. Choi. *Zeta and q-Zeta functions and associated series and integrals*. Elsevier Science Publishers., Amsterdam, London and New York, 2012.

[20] Ce Xu, Jinfa Cheng. *Some results on Euler sums*. Functions et Approximatio., 2016, **54**(1): 25-37.

[21] Ce Xu, Yuhuan Yan, Zhijuan Shi. *Euler sums and integrals of polylogarithm functions*. J. Number Theory., 2016, **165**: 84-108.

[22] Ce Xu, Mingyu Zhang, Weixia Zhu. *Some evaluation of harmonic number sums*. Integral Transforms and Special Functions., 2016, **27**(12): 937-955.

[23] Ce Xu. *Multiple zeta values and Euler sums*. arXiv:1609.05863.

[24] Ce Xu. *Euler sums of generalized hyperharmonic numbers*. arXiv:1701.00391.

[25] D. Zagier. *Evaluation of the multiple zeta values* $\zeta(2,...,2,3,2,...,2)$. Annals of Mathematics., 2012, **2**(2): 977-1000.